

Universidade Federal de Pernambuco  
Centro de Filosofia e Ciências Humanas  
Departamento de Ciência Política  
Curso de Bacharelado em Ciência Política

Disciplina: **Teoria Política Contemporânea -2024/2**

Terça-feira – 11:10 às 13:10

Quinta-feira – 09:20 às 11:10

Prof. Ricardo Borges Gama Neto (ricardo.gamant@ufpe.br)

#### Descrição do curso

Este curso oferece uma perspectiva geral de algumas teorias desenvolvidas em torno do tema “regimes políticos, democracia e estado de bem-estar” na ciência política contemporânea. A grande maioria dos textos ultrapassam a simples descrição dos problemas, mas tem como tema central o desenvolvimento de desenhos de pesquisa baseados em hipóteses testáveis. O objetivo central desta disciplina é apresentar aos alunos da graduação da disciplina teoria política contemporânea não apenas um tema central da ciência política, mas demonstrar as técnicas de análise de dados aplicadas a uma agenda real de pesquisa empírica.

Metodologia: Dois alunos a cada dia apresentarão um seminário.

Avaliação: Duas provas feitas em sala de aula.

#### Aulas

Dia	Texto
14/11	Apresentação
19/11	<b>APS</b>
21/11	North, Douglas, 1990, p.03 – 61.
26/11	North, Douglas, 1990, p. 73 – 106
28/11	North, Douglas, 1990, p. 107 - 140
03/12	Lipset, 1960, p.45 - 76
05/12	Acemoglu e Robinson, 2006, 48-87
10/12	Przeworski e Limongi, 1993, p. 51 - 69
17/12	Przeworski, 2005, p.59 -77
19/12	Barro, 1996, p. 01-27
<b>RECESSO</b>	
<b>28/01/2025</b>	<b>RELEMBRANDO TUDO</b>
30/01	Glasser et all, 2004, p. 271-303
04/02	Persson e Tabelini, 2006, p. 319 - 324
06/02	Boix e Stokes, 2003, p. 517-549
11/02	Acemoglu, Naidu, Retrepo e Robinson, 2019, p.47-100
13/02	Pozuelo, Slipowitz e Vuletin, 2016, p. 1 - 33
18/02	Fittipaldi, Gama Neto, Costa e Medeiros, 2017, p. 115-129
<b>20/02</b>	<b>Revisão</b>
<b>25/02</b>	<b>Prova</b>
27/02	Doucouliagos e Uluboglu, 2008, p. 61-83
04/02	Acemoglu e Robinson, 2001, p. 1369 - 1398
06/03	Acemoglu e Robinson, 2002, p. 1231-1294
11/03	Rodrik, Subramanian, Trebbi, 2004, p. 131- 165
13/03	International Monetary Found, 2002, p. 95 - 128
18/03	Nawaz, Iqbal e Khan, 2014,9 15-31
20/03	Altun, 2016, p. 01-47
<b>22/03 (Aula Extra)</b>	<b>Aisan e Veiga, 2012, p. 151-167</b>
25/03	Houle, 2009, p. 589-622
27/03	Malanski e Póvoa, 2021, p. 58-70
<b>29/03 (Aula Extra)</b>	<b>Azan (2022), p. 1470 - 1495</b>
01/04	Ghardallou e Sridi, 2020, p. 982 - 100
03/04	Mazzuca e Munck, 2020, p. 03-18
<b>05/04 (Aula Extra)</b>	<b>Mazzuca e Munck, 2020, p. 19-48</b>
08/04	Mazzuca e Munck, 2020, p. 49-70
11/04	<b>Revisão</b>
<b>14/04</b>	<b>Prova</b>
<b>15/04</b>	<b>Segunda Chamada</b>
<b>17/04</b>	<b>Final</b>

## Referência Bibliográfica.

- Acemoglu**, Daron e **Robinson**, James A. (2006). *Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Acemoglu**, Daron, **Johnson**, Simon, & **Robinson**, James (2001). “The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation”. *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369-1401.
- Acemoglu**, Daron, **Johnson**, Simon, & **Robinson**, James. (2002). “Reversal of fortune: Geography and institutions in the making of the modern world income distribution”. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117(4), 1231-1294.
- Aisen**, Ari e **Veiga**, Francisco J. (2012). “How Does Political Instability Affect Economic Growth?” *European Journal of Political Economy*, 29, p. 151 – 167.
- Altun**, Rüçhan K. (2017), “The Effect of Political Stability and Governance on Economic Development”. SSRN. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2948652>
- Azan**, Muhammad. (2022), “Governance and economic growth: evidence from 14 latin America and Caribbean countries”. *Journal of The Knowledge Economy*, 13, p. 1470 - 1495.
- Barro**, Robert J. (1996). “Democracy and growth”. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 1(1), 1-27.
- Boix**, Carles, e **Stokes**, Susan (2003). “Endogenous democratization”. *World Politics*, 55(4), 517-549.
- Doucouliagos**, Hristos e **Ulubasoglu**, Mehmet. (2008). “Democracy and Economic Growth: a meta-analisis”. *American Journal of Political Science*. Vol. 52 (01)
- Easterly**, William e **Levine**, Ross (1997). “Africa’s growth tragedy: policies and ethnic divisions”. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112(4), p. 1203-1250.
- Ghardallou**, Wafa; **Sridi**, Dorsaf (2020). “Democracy and Economic Growth: a Literature Review”, *Journal of The Knowledge Economic*, vol. 11, p. 982-1002.
- Glaeser**, Edward, **La Porta**, Rafael, **Lopez-de-Silanes**, Florencio, & **Shleifer**, Andrei. (2004). “Do institutions cause growth?” *Journal of Economic Growth*, 9(3), p.271-303.
- Houle**, Christian. (2009), “Inequality and Democracy: Why Inequality Harms Consolidation but does not affect democratization”. *World Politics*, 61, nº04
- International Monetary Fund (IMF)**. (2002), *World Economic Outlook 2003: Growth and Institutions*. Washington <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2016/12/30/World-Economic-Outlook-April-2003-Growth-and-Institutions-16369>
- Lipset**, Seymour M. (1960). *Political Man: the social bases of politics*. New York: Doubleday & Company.
- Malanski**, Leonardo e **Póvoa**, Angela. (2021), “Economic growth and corruption in emerging markets: Does economic freedom matter?” *International Economics*, 166, p. 58-70.
- North**, Douglas (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
- Persson**, Torsten e **Tabellini**, Guido. (2006). Democracy and development: The devil in the details. *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings* (96)319-324.28.
- Pozuello**, Julia, **Slipowitz**, Amy e **Vuletin**, Guilhermo. (2016). Democracy Does Not Cause Growth. The importance of endogeneity arguments. IDB Working Paper (nº IDW-WP-694).
- Przeworski**, Adam e **Limongi**, Fernando (1993). Political Regimes and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 07 (3), pp. 51 – 69.
- Przeworski**, Adam. (2005). As instituições são a causa primordial do desenvolvimento econômico? *Novos Estudos* nº 72, 59 -77.
- Rodrik**, Dani; **Subramanian**, Arvind e **Trebbi**, Francesco (2004). Institutions rule: the primacy of institutions over geography and integration in economic development. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 9(2), 131-165.